

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,465	9/727,465 12/04/2000		Ewald Schmon	4003.450	6567
	7590	07/25/2003			
Hall, Priddy	and Mye	ers	EXAMINER		
Suite 200 10220 River R			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
Potomac, MD 20854			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3752	ايا
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	1-(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\					
			, , , , , ,	`					
	Offic Action Summary	09/727,465	SCHMON, EWALD	, 					
	Ome Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Christopher S. Kim	3752	dress					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri df rReply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/23	V03 .							
2a)□	·	is action is non-final.							
3)	, <u> </u>								
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application	n.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>15 October 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen		" —	(DTO 440) Days 1	(0)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PT						

Application/Control Number: 09/727,465

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 28, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Drawings

3. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on October 15, 2002 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3752

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the male and female thread have a diameters between about 30 and about 40 mm" in lines 6-7. The recitation has been considered to limit all categories of each of the male and female thread diameters to between 30mm and 40mm.

Claims 12-20 recite the limitation "____ +/- about 20%" throughout the claims.

Such a recitation does not appear to indicate +/- 20% of what.

Claims 14 and 16 depend from a canceled claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis et al. (6,250,567) in view of Kubis (4,906,151).

Lewis et al. discloses a spray gun comprising: a gun body 14; an air nozzle ring 18; a trapezoid thread 51.

Lewis et al. does not disclose paint. The device of Lewis is for spraying single or multicomponent material such a polyurethane. The device of Lewis is not precluded from spraying paint. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided paint to the device of Lewis et al. for a painted finish.

Addtionally, Lewis et al. does not disclose the ranges of flank angle, thread height, root to crest clearance, flank clearance, pitch, core diameter and outer diameter tolerance, pitch tolerance, nominal diameter. Such parameters are known parameters of a trapezoid thread. Kubis discloses male and female trapozodial threads in figure 2

Art Unit: 3752

defining the diameters, thread height, root to crest clearance and flank clearance. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided claimed ranges/values for optimization dependent on application criteria, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that neither Lewis nor Kubis disclose applicant's claimed parameters, applicant's specification page 4, line 12 through page 5, line 5 discloses that such parameters are known and discloses by ISO trapezoid DIN 103.

In response to applicant's argument that one skilled in the art, before embarking upon optimization, would have reason to believe that the standard or "unoptimized" prior art thread was less than optimum, such a recognition for optimization within the prior art would support a rejection under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated. The rejection is on the basis for optimization dependent on application criteria. "Mating pressure and fit" are only given as examples.

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK July 24, 2003